

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/075,985		02/15/2002	Melissa Marie Klemish	DP-304828	9253	
22851	7590	05/05/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DELPHI T	TECHNO	LOGIES, INC.	FILE, ERIN M			
M/C 480-4	10-202					
PO BOX 5052				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TROY, M	I 48007		2634			

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		0/075,985	KLEMISH ET AL.				
		xaminer	Art Unit				
	E	rin M. File	2634				
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	s communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing da If the period for reply specified above is let If NO period for reply is specified above, the Failure to reply within the set or extended Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	COMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) te of this communication. so than thirty (30) days, a reply will be maximum statutory period will apperiod for reply will, by statute, cau three months after the mailing dat). In no event, however, may a reply be hin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of pply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro ise the application to become ABANDO!	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on 15 Febr	uary 2002.	·				
2a) This action is FINAL.	· · ·	tion is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims			•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pend	ing in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10, 11</u> is/are allo	Claim(s) 10, 11 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,7</u> is/are rejec	Claim(s) 1,5,7 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4,6,8 and 9</u> is/	Claim(s) <u>2-4,6,8 and 9</u> is/are objected to						
8) Claim(s) are subje	ct to restriction and/or el	ection requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is object	ed to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15</u>	February 2002 is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec	ted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request the	at any objection to the dra	wing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet	(s) including the correction	is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is	objected to by the Exam	niner. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2. Certified copies of the certified3. Copies of the certified	None of: the priority documents have the priority documents have ted copies of the priority tellorenational Bureau (F	ave been received. ave been received in Applica documents have been received PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892		4) Interview Summa					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/21/2003</u>. 		Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date Il Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/075,985 Page 2

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khan.

Khan discloses a method of generating pulse width modulated (PWM) signals including constructing a lookup table for Pulse Width Modulation values in which modulation indices are used to generate an ordered list of the length duration of the high and low pulse width values. Because the duty cycles are created in order, there is no need for the cycles to be ordered in the table generation (2. PWM Technique, 3. Look-Up-Tables Construction Technique, p. 1). The PWM waveform generation occurs when a comparator compares the modulation index values (which are directly correlated to the duty cycle) and a counter. An interrupt is used to create the waveforms because the waveform creation is disclosed as an interrupt subroutine (5. System Software and Operation). The modulation index value is used to retrieve the high and low pulse

Art Unit: 2634

duration to create the desired waveform (5.1 Waveform Generation). After the creation of the waveform creation the table pointer is incremented and when the counter is overflowed (reaches the maximum value) the pointer is reset to zero (5. System Software and Operation)

Claim 5, inherits the limitation of Claim 1. As the sorting of the duty cycles is done in the creation of the duty cycle tables, it can be said that this operation takes place as a background operation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7, the recitation beginning on line 7, "a capture and compare module in communication with said microprocessor" is unclear in meaning is rendered vague and indefinite. It is not clear from the claim what is being captured, or what is being compared in this module.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,985 Page 4

Art Unit: 2634

5. Claims 2-4, 6, 8, 9 are objected to as dependent upon rejected Claims, however,

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Erin M. File whose telephone number is (571)272-6040.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (571)272-3056. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Erin M. File

4/20/2005

, stephin chin Upervisory patent **Evan**ini

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600